

HOW TUNBRIDGE WELLS EVADES THE REAL QUESTION

and identifies itself with him who takes up a reproach against his neighbor (Ps. xv, 3)

Observe particularly that Tunbridge Wells neither proves the thing, nor claims that it has been proven to them.

ANGELIA

Pembury Grange,
Tunbridge Wells,

Oct. 17, 1909.

Dear Brother in Christ:—

Our brother, Mr. Sibthorpe, has put your letter to him, dated July 5, 1909, into our hands in order that we might investigate as to whether you had any real foundation for writing to him, as you have done, this has become necessary on account of your letter having been circulated amongst brethren and he having been written to about it. We have written to Mr. James Carter and in reply he says (referring to the statement in question) "it was certainly Dr. C. Wolston and no other who told me; of course, I admit telling Mr. Sibthorpe".

This is quite sufficient proof to us that Mr. Sibthorpe is not guilty of those things you attribute to him in your letter.

We are sending a copy of this to the brethren gathered to the Lord's name at Eastbourne and those who have written to us about your letter.

Signed in behalf of the brothers gathered to the Lord's name at 41 York Road, T. W.,

F. Gower

C. G. Richards

J. T. Warner

Will this excuse stand?

Will not God require restitution? Matt. V, 25 & 26.